

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/091,284		HARPER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier		1796	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Frederick H. Rabin.

**Date of Interview:** 27 August 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*None.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** ~ 11:25 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed the updating of the cross-noting section of the specification and the defective original declaration. Indicated to applicants' representative that an Action under Ex parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935) would be sent to correct said formal issues..